

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,455	07/24/2001	Jovan E. Lebaric	073169 0278126	4456	
7:	590 05/12/2003				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAMINER		
	k Avenue, N.W.		TRINH, N	TRINH, MINH N	
Washington, DC 20005-3918			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000-1-00-1-0	09/912,455	LEBARIC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Trinh	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	a6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	<u> 1arch 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under A Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ariiller.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- Species 1A- drawn to Fig. 2, readable on page 6, lines 7-15, and page 7, lines 19-21.
 - 1B- drawn to Fig. 5, readable on page 6, line 16 to page 7, page 8, lines 5-7.
 - 1C- drawn to Fig. 8, readable on page 7, lines 3-12, and page 8, lines 12-14.
 - 1D- drawn to Fig. 10, readable on page 8, lines 5-7.
 - **1E** drawn to Fig. 13, readable on page 9, lines 3-5.
 - 1F- drawn to Fig. 16, readable on page 9, lines 10-13.
 - 1G- drawn to Fig. 18, readable on page 9, lines 15-17.

Sub species

- **2A** drawn to Fig. 25, readable on page 10, lines 10-12.
- **2B** drawn to Fig. 27, readable on page 10, lines 15-17.
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect <u>a single disclosed species</u>

 (one of species 1A-1G and one of Subspecies 2A-2B) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

 Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, <u>and a listing of all claims</u> readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/912,455

Art Unit: 3729

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Christopher D. Agnew on 5/5/2003 to request an • oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 09/912,455

Art Unit: 3729

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. Trinh

Patent Examiner Group 3729

mt

May 6, 2003